UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,832	01/17/2006	Robert Fischer	1454.1666	5115
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 03/09/201 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		HASSAN, SARAH		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,832	FISCHER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SARAH HASSAN	2611	

	6/11/11/1/100/11 1	2011	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af- ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below.		n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Shuwang Liu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611	/Sarah Hassan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611		

Applicant's Argument:

On page 3, applicant argues "It is submitted that the trianglar matrix R described in col. 1, on page 1628.....Nothing has been cited or found in Ginis et al. suggesting that the triangular matrix R described "a residual interference matrix."...."Furthermore, given that the method taught by Ginis....Thus, the residual interference matrix R recited in claim 8 is not equivalent to the triangular matrix R described in Ginis et al."

Examiner's Response:

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Even though the claims are read in view of the specification, the specification is not read into the claims. The claim does not explicitly state or suggest that the "residual" matrix referers exclusively to the partial precoding at the transmitter side that leaves residual (remaining) interference signals at the receiver side. "Residual interference matrix" is broadly interpreted as matrix used for signal processing that incorporates interference. The triangular matrix R in Ginis is a marix used for signal processing of interference. Thus, Ginis teaches "residual matrix."